

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/938,921	MILLIKEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Quang N. Nguyen	Art Unit 2141	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Quang N. Nguyen.

(3) _____.

(2) John Harrity.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 September 2006

Time: 11:00 AM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called the Attorney on the records (Mr. John Harrity - Reg. 43,367) for a telephone interview to discuss about the Office Action mailed on 08/11/2006 missing the Supervisor's signature. In response to the Attorney's request, a new copy of the Office Action with the Supervisor's signature will be issued accordingly.